

Rise Britannia

Official Newspaper of the National Rebirth Party

Edition 01 - Sep 24



£2



Printed on behalf of the National Rebirth Party

Registered Political Party in Great Britain

PO Box 296, Knottingley, WF8 9EU



Contact us:

enquiries@nationalrebirthparty.org.uk



From the Party Leader

Over the past few months since the formation of the Party, the British people have experienced the same thing as they have under every government for the past generation - an endless tirade of lies and endless litany of abuses directed at our people. The feeling that the generation of today faces the prospect of having a worse quality of life than the generation of yesterday is felt across every strata of society.

Yet, despite all this anger, and despite an increasing understanding that only a radical move in a different direction will change this planned fate, our people are still found wanting when it comes to an alternative.

Over the last generation, the public awareness on the consequences of immigration, a self-serving political system and an economy designed to bleed wealth from our people has grown to astronomical proportions. The majority of people, even by the admission of our enemies, share these concerns. Until now, all discourse over these issues has been centred around raising awareness of these problems, on the belief that once enough people know, a solution will present itself.

The task of the generation of today is different. We already have the awareness, the support and the understanding that we require. Therefore, our task now, is to start implementing the solution to these problems.

The biggest thing deficient in the National Movement is organisation. Too many of our people only engage with each other in online echo chambers, or they will all talk to the prominent figures but never to each other, with few real-world meetings or mobilisations. The consequence of this is a movement which has immense reach and influence, but virtually no ability to actually mobilise politically. Hence, our people are continually lumbered with traitor after traitor in the Houses of Westminster, not because we actually support the likes of Sunak, Starmer, but because there is no credible alternative working to present itself. Instead, the alternatives have become bogged down with street activism, pressure-group politics and the indefinable 'culture wars' - all these things which have consumed vast amounts of manpower and money, but shown virtually nothing in terms of results.

The task of the Party is to remedy this problem.

In order to make the advance against the internationalists, the Marxists and the liberal capitalists of the old order, we first need a coherent set of principles.

The **Twenty Demands of the Nationalist Agenda** (which you can read towards the back of this newspaper) were drafted in order to provide these principles: a set of end goals towards which all other policy should work towards. This is our vision for the Great Britain of tomorrow, a vision based on duty, loyalty, and the value of our people as whole above any single person, with no room for greed, selfishness or the parasites who live by these ideals.

In order to be able to realise this vision, the Party must be organised and ruthlessly disciplined. We must focus on cultivating concentrated support within towns and cities, under the direction of competent Branch Leaders, laying the foundations for future electoral success by creating a well of manpower and resources that can be used by any candidate. And these Branches must work for the betterment of all people in their area of operations, demonstrating that the Party is not just a movement of reactionaries, but a movement of visionaries with a mind to create, construct and plan for the future.

In the past few months, we have been able to identify such leaders, who have been busy not just promoting the existence of the Party and its agenda, but crucially, creating positive projects that their Branches can undertake. This is the method by which we will redeem the ability of our people to improve their own situation, and lay claim to being a credible alternative to the Westminster Criminals.

The days ahead will require ceaseless work in order to yield success, but as sure as the sun will rise tomorrow, it can be done. Our people will change their fate, when they decide to change it; not before and not after. With the help of the same energy with which our ancestors created a nation for themselves, so too will we deliver it from those who have raped and pillaged it. The spirit of Britannia lives, in us.

Alek Yerbury,
Party Leader
National Rebirth Party





Riot Police in Southport, Merseyside. A riot broke out on Tuesday 30th July, in response to the murder of three girls on Monday 29th.

The Southport Killings, and What We Do Next

By Alek Yerbury, Party Leader

1st Aug, 2024

The major headline this week has been the horrific event in Southport on Monday 29th July, and the events that followed both in Southport and around the country and there were (and still are continuing) protests, riots and anger directed mainly at the police and government.

The Attack on Monday

Without any warning, a man (now named by a court to be as Axel Rudakubana, of Rwandan heritage), burst into a children's dance class in Southport, Merseyside, and began stabbing children at random, three of them to death and many more hospitalised, with some still in critical condition. It was a sick, senseless act of violence aimed deliberately at children, and the killer was apprehended by police after the attack.

In the aftermath, the virtually obligatory statements about the attack 'not being terror-related' were hard to believe, given the eyewitness accounts of the foreign origin of the attacker and the seeming lack of any other motive, and the fact that similar crimes across Europe keep occurring (Cont'd)

at the hands of 'asylum seekers', such as the mass stabbing of children in France last year.

It is known that there is a propaganda tactic known as 'controlled spontaneity', whereby a political authority will quickly take control of the narrative when events like this occur, in a bid to control public anger and outcry. The fact this routinely happens, like in the Nottingham attacks, makes people naturally distrusting of the words of local 'leaders' and police Superintendents. The attacker was not identified publicly until August 1st, and his name was concealed from the public for three days.

Protest and Riot

On Tuesday 30th, a large-scale public vigil was held for the victims in Southport, which intentionally avoided any discussion of the motives or actions of the killer. The unwillingness to tell people what the motive or identity of the killer was, led to rumours about who it could be, most of which turned out later to be false. If the police had released this information immediately, it would have at least prevented this problem, though the police would argue that it is for the courts to release that information. (Cont'd overleaf)





The Southport Killings - and What We Do Next

What this highlights is a systemic issue, with the legal system as a whole, where the rights of one individual are placed ahead of the rights of the entire community.

In the evening, a public protest was also organised in a street nearby to the killings, and rumour that the killer was affiliated to a local mosque, as well as the arrest of an individual at the public vigil who was carrying a knife, meant that the protest quickly descended into riot, with police preventing the crowd from attacking the mosque. In the ensuing riot, dozens of police were injured, property was damaged and at least one shop was looted, according to reports from both the mainstream media and attendees.

On the 31st, further demonstrations turned into riots, most notably in Hartlepool. A planned protest at Downing Street reached a point where the police began mass arresting those present, for a variety of reasons. The indications are that many passers-by who were totally unrelated to the event ended up arrested in the crossfire. An over-reaction by the police, but a predictable one.

What lies ahead

In coming days, there are a litany of protests and demonstrations planned up and down the country, mostly relating to the killings in Southport in some way but also a general anger against the establishment. The events of this week mean that these protests and demonstrations are going to attract a heavy police presence, and some are likely to involve large-scale clashes with police. The frequency of these events, and the numbers attending, is likely to diminish over the next week as travelling attendees run out of resources, and prominent individuals who repeatedly attend are picked off by police.

The public anger at the situation which allowed the Southport massacre to occur – not solely immigration, but also the flagrant ignorance of the danger posed by individuals with destructive, asocial mentalities – will remain for some time. It is unclear as yet whether he was already known to police or social services, though if it is revealed that he was, it reiterates something which we have demanded for some time – that people whose pattern of behaviour and mindset indicates that they are likely to commit heinous crimes against society, should be detained BEFORE they can commit the acts, not afterwards, and only released when the threat appears to have passed.

How the Nationalist Community should respond

The public anger at the government is not going to dissipate, and it represents a far greater anger at the system and how it operates in a way which disenfranchises and exploits our native population, whilst making the people like Sunak, Starmer, Farage wealthier and wealthier. The task of the Nationalist forces here is not merely to highlight this anger, but to actively promote the solution to it.

Discussion of crimes against our people must always be followed with a clear presentation of what the solution is – a Nationalist Agenda, a full-blooded political programme with clear ideas and aims, and a clear vision of the society that we want to create to REPLACE the one we currently have. It is no good just demanding destruction of the current order, to do so makes us anarchists, not nationalists, and there is no place in a National Community for anarchy and carnage.

When events like the massacre in Southport occur, or events like the riots that followed, the first priority of the forces at our disposal must be to help those of our people who are suffering. Whether this means by supporting families of the victims, or by helping to repair the damage done to homes and businesses when our people suffer collateral damage from understandable public anger. As nationalists we must, first and foremost, support the wellbeing of our people. And it is our responsibility to come to them with a clear solution to their grievances, not just fuel on the fire.

Those who continue to fight against the injustices and crimes against our people, must be able to come armed with the defence of legitimacy. This means using things like political parties (including our own) to give ourselves this defence. It's MUCH harder for the government to crack down on us when we are organised with legitimate political groups, when we behave in a disciplined way and have positive objectives beyond just 'smash the system'. The defence of legitimacy is a powerful one, and it hinders the usual tactic of the establishment, which is to de-legitimise any protest or resistance, and thus destroy the reputations of the people involved.

The conditions that led to the Southport massacre are unlikely to be addressed without a total change of the political system, which means that until we are in a position to implement that, the same atrocity could occur at any time. And when it does, it must be met with a disciplined, but effective, response.





Thoughts on the Current State of Nationalism

By Darren Houghton, Branch Leader Sunderland/Peterlee
July 7th, 2024

It's fitting to write this article around the time of Great Britain and Northern Ireland's 2024 General Election. The timing couldn't be more appropriate, as there are important and unanswered questions to be addressed.

There has been a lot of confusion of late when it comes to how we are to collectively regain our nation's self-autonomy and sovereignty under our own people's guardianship. As we know only too well, we have been divided not just between 'The right' and 'the left' regarding our overall political allegiances, of which 'Brexit' was a clear indicator of where we have all stood regarding the policies in this country, and who those policies have either benefitted or not, but also within the overall 'umbrella' of purely national, or patriotic allegiances.

This is the unanswered question of our current times? What is everyone's 'idea' of nationalism, or being a patriot? What are the predefining terms, or 'rules' that decide it? Who does it really refer to?

There are more questions than this of course, but these are the ones in my opinion that deserve the most attention as they encapsulate what needs to be the defining answer overall.

As we've seen recently in the run up to the General Election, the nationalist, i.e. patriotic sentiment was heavily in support of the Reform Party and for a justifiable, if what, confusing and complex reason. There hasn't been an alternative to the usual, first past the post two party system that we have had in our country for many years now, and for the first time, since the British National Party, there has risen a 'perceived' and albeit, legitimate option that promises the very change in our country's policies that has been yearned for among the nationalist/patriotic minded citizens for a long time now due to the failings of the only major party that once represented them, the Conservative party.

But, the major flaw with this new 'resurgence' is that already, the Reform party is already showing signs of the 'type' of national policies that, in fact, look like they will eventually serve to further disappoint and further alienate the very people that currently believe in them. (Cont'd)



The fundamental issue with the Reform Party (led by Nigel Farage) is its inability to define its own view on what a 'nation' actually is

What's disingenuous about the whole move to the Reform party is the civic national 'utopia' that they actually seem to represent a successful nation, which can only be achieved through the policies that are represented by a more collective, ethnocentric worldview. This is where the nationalist/patriotic electorate is divided, and what they truly need to be made aware of.

Civic nationalism is not authentic because it doesn't represent the very fabric of the nation, indeed, any nation on earth, which is its native inhabitants, whose ancestors were responsible for creating the nation that we now struggle to maintain under our rightful and inherited sovereignty. Without this understanding within the 'nationalist/patriotic' community there will always be a divide based on religion, ethnicity, and real authentic 'belonging' due to the fact that no matter what anyone will tell you, even within the patriotic minds of civic nationalists, not everyone can just wake up and become native to this land.

Irrespective of the outcome of the election, it will be interesting to see just how long civic nationalism will continue. Because, in my mind, no matter the premise behind it used to garner more votes at the polling stations in the future, we will see the differences between the native population of our country and the 'integrated citizens' of our country over time, regardless now of their 'current political' allegiances. I say this because the new government that comes to power under the system that has been the only system we have been made to live under for generations, will still be able to manipulate via various tried and tested methods, the very 'citizens' that currently show their patriotic allegiance. This is a certainty no matter what, because it has been, and will be done again, history around the world can show us this. The only true national agenda is one which is rooted in the people of the nation themselves, and this will come to light as we progress forward after this Election.






General Election Roundup - Analysis and Plan

2024 general election: number of seats won by party

After all 650 seats declared



Conservative Party Leader Rishi Sunak



Labour Party Leader Kier Starmer

By **Alek Yerbury, Party Leader**

6th July, 2024

Thinking back to the pre-election assessments that I wrote out, I have watched the results of the election with interest, for the purpose of formulating the plan ahead. This assessment will be divided into two parts, the first being the overall result, and why, and the second being the performance of nationalist parties, and why.

The Labour Victory

The most obvious result is the overwhelming shift in terms of seats to Labour, with them having a comparable majority to that of Blair in 1997. This said, they actually lost votes overall in comparison to their previous iteration under Corbyn, effectively continuing a trend of the by-elections of 2024 that Labour wins despite losing support. The election result was ultimately decided by who lost the most votes rather than who gained, and given that a significant portion of the Conservative vote stayed at home or switched to voting for Reform UK, all Labour had to do to win, was to avoid making mistakes. Given the results of the last decade of Conservative policies, Labour could easily make an argument for themselves if they needed to.

Reform UK

Reform UK, in terms of its ideals and principles, is identical to the Conservative Party. There is less difference between Reform and the Conservatives, than there is between factions of the Conservatives and each other. As such, the ability of the Conservative voter and even MP to switch between the two parties remains high due to there being no real (Cont'd)

ideological barrier or change required. Reform has made noise about coming second in many seats, but in almost all these cases, it was taking second place from the Conservatives in seats which have, historically, been guaranteed wins for Labour. In other words, they might have taken second from the Conservatives, but even so they had no chance of winning anyway.

Farage proclaims that he is coming for Labour, but Reform has little to no ability to appeal to the disenfranchised Labour supporter, because fundamentally it IS a Tory party, and if a disenfranchised Labour voter wouldn't vote Conservative, they won't vote Reform either.

It will be interesting to observe the change now that Farage and Tice are actually MPs. I can foresee the issue coming up, of them getting bogged down dealing with a constituency, that their ability to actually manage the Party is crippled. I also think it is highly likely that within a year, at least one of the elected Reform UK MPs will defect to the Conservatives.

The fact that Reform UK polled more votes than the Liberal Democrats, yet returned 5 seats as opposed to 71 for the Lib Dems, does highlight issues with the First Past the Post System, BUT it also highlights issues with the way that Reform UK operates. The level of Party infrastructure and resource is disproportionately low to the number of seats it ran in, thus leaving most candidates with very little in the way of resources or concentrated ability to carry out branch activity. This makes it very hard to concentrate or mobilise any large numbers in any single constituency. (Cont'd)





General Election Roundup - Analysis and Plan

It highlights the limitations of a Party that runs only on the work of half a dozen people, and which does not empower junior officers or branch leaders to mobilise people of their own accord.

Turnout

The final turnout figure that I have seen is 59.5%, effectively comparable to that of the 2001 election. I did speculate that it would likely be the lowest ever – it wasn't, but it was virtually tied for the position. It illustrates a point that has been made by me and others throughout the year, which is that the single largest voting block – the non-voters – will not vote now except for something which is radically different enough to the existing options so as to be worth the effort of voting for. Parties like Reform UK certainly aren't in that category.

The Nationalist Movement

In short, the results were terrible. And none of it was the candidates' fault, because in most if not all cases the candidates themselves were good people who put themselves on the line. The results were terrible because of the utterly dreadful (in some cases non-existent) leadership and decision-making within the sphere of the legacy nationalist movement.

The candidates from all of the nationalist parties failed horrifically because they were set up to fail by leaders who either didn't think or just didn't care.

There have been candidates being forced to run on contradictory manifestos. Leaders of nationalist parties who didn't just endorse OTHER parties, but even VOTED for other parties on polling day. Activists who somehow ignored parties they were in actual alliances with to go and leaflet for other parties that have openly slated them. The absolute lack of any coherent strategy. The promotion of the idea that somehow being a parish councillor is going to 'legitimise' you as a parliamentary candidate, when in reality, nobody will care. Candidates being given a box of leaflets and one or two activists and expected to somehow communicate their existence and policies to a constituency of 75,000 people. Leaders encouraging people to run in the election whilst simultaneously proclaiming that there is no political solution.

The litany is endless. (Cont'd)

What these elections, just like the local elections, have shown me, is that the organisations and leadership of the legacy nationalist movement are beyond help. People cannot be helped who will not help themselves.

The fact that the results for nationalist candidates were awful is NOT the issue, because everyone has to start somewhere. But what IS the issue is that all the evidence shows resolutely that the legacy nationalist parties and the people leading them have no capability to ever improve those results.

The solution to this problem is this:

1) Candidates need to be supported, within the constituency, by at least 1-200 people who can regularly engage in activity. Labour get this through the unions. The Conservatives get this through local associations. In order for candidates to have this level of resource, the focus of the Party needs to be to build this branch infrastructure, NOT to just get candidates running and cobble together a few people that live 150 miles away to leaflet once every month.

2) There must be no tolerance for any level of weakness, cowardice, greed or contradictory behaviour by the leadership.

The events of yesterday have proven to me, beyond all doubt, that walking away from that legacy of failure, ignorance and arrogance in equal measure, in order to form the National Rebirth Party, was the best possible decision that I could have made, not just for me, but for the entire movement.

Conclusion

The results of the General Election, and the performance of the nationalist parties in particular, proves the necessity of engaging in the five-year plan of the National Rebirth Party. We have seen now, resolutely, what the old legacy of nationalism can do and can't do, and are now in a position where the political leadership of the nation will work to sabotage the future of our country even further. It cannot be confronted with weakness and failure.

This time to redouble our efforts, is now.





Mass Appeal: The Populist Art of Exploiting Democracy

By Lauren Brookes,

First published on Helier Sentinel (heliersentinel.com)

24th July, 2024

A recent conversation with a politically inactive friend on our side of the fence summed up nicely: even amongst the people who agree with our other views, most still are primarily interested in their streets staying safe and clean, and being able to put food on the table. They're not interested in esoteric theories or debates on history, they just want to live decent lives.

Here lies the crux of why fringe politics fails: too many people trying to be an ideological messiah, and not enough invested in the minutiae of how things are failing and what will put them right. Because the truth is that immigration IS a major player in why a lot of our systems are failing - particularly when it comes to service user demand, like within the NHS - but it is not the only reason why, and getting rid of every migrant in Britain wouldn't suddenly make them work. One of the most urgent examples of this is farming; the issues facing the agricultural sector were not caused by migration, and migrant labour might even have been obscuring what trouble they were in for a time. But it's undeniable that there are issues in this country that must be resolved, and this must happen alongside the long-term plan to end migration and repatriate those who should not have been here in the first place. We don't have time to wait until they've gone home.

As democracy demands popular appeal, achieving political power from a fringe position is clearly going to be tough. Not impossible by any means - this essay is not meant to black pill - but certainly tougher than coming with a plan that doesn't shy away from recognising immigration as a relevant factor, but doesn't make it the primary factor in every instance. Once an ethnonationalist foundation is revealed, the ship begins to sink; not just because of external attacks from people who want to undermine, but also from within, as infighting and petty debates sap vital energy and confidence from those who simply want to show support for a better future. All the more frustrating when one realises it simply doesn't have to be this way - our desires are reasonable and our aims achievable, if only we can agree what to work on and how to work on it.

So my proposal is this: build a platform not on immigration itself, but on dismantling the systems that keep it in place.
(Cont'd)

It's entirely true that the only place these changes can be made is from the top, but in order to get to the top the approval of the public who actually go out and vote must be secured - and this is where the current anti-establishment movement, colloquially known as the right, is coming unstuck. If our circles attract largely the disaffected, the extremist, and the misanthropic then we will never win. We need to appeal to the police officer, the shop worker, the teacher, the mechanic, the nurse, and the farmer: the normal people who put their sweat into Britain and simply want to see that their labour leads to creating a society they're proud to live in.

It's true that, despite this being the case, people will vote illogically - and I'd argue that this is due to appeal, rather than clear difference in main policy. Looking at voting demographics for GE2024, the observations are predictable: Conservatives most popular amongst the oldest voters and least popular amongst the youngest; Lib Dem and Green conversely most popular amongst the youngest and least amongst older; Labour most popular with millennials and Reform with Gen X. But what is the ideological difference between Lib Dem and Labour, Reform and Conservative? Very little - and this goes to show that perception of what your party stands for is, ultimately, what decides your fate in our current democracy.

I'd like to address the common responses in our online spaces to this predicament, usually some variant of 'stop women/commoners/etc from voting'. While I think it's perfectly fine for people to have those opinions, I also feel quite secure in the conclusion that suffrage will never go backwards and therefore that this resolution will not come to pass. So, accepting that we must play with the hand we have been dealt, how do we move forward? How do we appeal to the masses without betraying our beliefs? Through populist rhetoric, kept true to our ethos through strategic honesty. For example, there is indeed a housing crisis, and it is easy to trace a line from the source of the problem (unnaturally huge influx of foreigners wanting to be housed) through its facilitators (private and corporate landlords, coupled with mortgage lenders and property developers, who want to profit from a scarcity in the housing market) concluding with the impact on everyone else (natives who just want to afford to buy a house and raise a family). It is so easy to gain popular support with a narrative like this because it is simple, it is clear, and it is ideologically neutral because it is well-supported by facts. When this framework is applied to multiple hot topics - NHS, education, crime, and so on - the solution loudly makes itself known.





Branch Reports

Leeds

Leeds Branch held its inaugural meeting on the 17th August. In addition to political campaigning through street stalls and canvassing, Leeds Branch has devised three main projects that it will undertake. Firstly, is direct support to the homeless and disadvantaged through organised work in soup kitchens in the City Centre. Secondly, investigative work will be done into the issue of prostitution and drug addiction in Holbeck. Thirdly, we will launch a food bank and delivery service for FRESH food to struggling families in Leeds. Fundraising will be done with a view to providing this service free of charge. Leeds Branch will hold regular Branch Meetings in various places around the city to plan and develop further.

David Smaller, Branch Leader Leeds
leedsbranch@nationalrebirthparty.org.uk

Hull

Hull Branch have been holding regular gatherings, both informal social gatherings and formal branch meetings, where we can introduce new members and supporters. We are planning our first outreach actions in Hull, including regular tabletop stalls, banner and flag displays (using banners which we have been creating), and have discussed ways of holding meetings in surrounding areas to drum up support.

Jon Mainprize, Branch Leader Hull
hullbranch@nationalrebirthparty.org.uk

Portsmouth

Our branch has been actively involved in assisting & supporting legitimate protests in Portsmouth. In response to our growing involvement in the community, we are organising our first branch meeting & social gathering in early September. Our efforts going forward include setting up a stall in the city centre where we will distribute leaflets & engage directly with the public. Our city centre activities will also focus on addressing the growing homeless epidemic in Portsmouth.

Perry Bryan, Branch Leader Portsmouth
portsmouthbranch@nationalrebirthparty.org.uk

Birmingham

Our branch members and supporters have started to come together to look at ways our Branch can move forward, not just by growing, but also getting involved and participating in projects which benefit our community and individuals in our community. We have been actively involved in the support and mental health wellbeing of mothers and grandmothers in our community. We will be organising local meetings for the women to get together for support on a number of issues. We are continuing to support local musicians. One is starting to record a three track demo and potentially release one or more music videos. We have started to get alongside and help two brothers who are looking at setting up their own business, and we will help them where necessary to develop their business plan.

Donna Brookes, Branch Leader Birmingham
birminghambranch@nationalrebirthparty.org.uk

Manchester

Over the last month there's been the first and second branch meetings and creation of the Branch Whatsapp Group. We now of course have our own flag, stall and flyers which I'll be looking to make use of in the near future. Over the next month, I'll be looking to build up group numbers, the Manchester Branch FB page along with setting up Manchester Branch on other social media for higher visibility. We will also set up the first physical event in which we engage with the public for the first in promotion of the Party.

Aaron Oates, Branch Leader Manchester
manchesterbranch@nationalrebirthparty.org.uk

Get in Touch with our other Branches:

Great Yarmouth/Ipswich:

greatyarmouthbranch@nationalrebirthparty.org.uk

Glasgow:

glasgowbranch@nationalrebirthparty.org.uk

London:

londonbranch@nationalrebirthparty.org.uk





Letter to the Party: My Personal Experience with Two-Tier Policing



Over 1000 people were arrested in the United Kingdom after the riots following the Southport killings.

By Perry Bryan

15th Aug, 2024

I'd like to share a true story.

When I was campaigning to become a local councillor in Central Southsea, Portsmouth, I encountered the kind of political double standards that should concern every native of this country. My local Labour councillor Charlotte Gerada, is married to Aaron Bastani, a well-known left-wing journalist who co founded the communist, left wing media group Novara Media. Bastani is infamous for his radical views & as I recently pointed out, for his involvement in the London riots of 2011, a time when he was filmed smashing up a bank, destroying property & terrorising ordinary people. Children & the elderly were left frightened by the chaos he helped incite. When I shared this video & simply asked the people of Portsmouth whether these were the kind of influences we want on our city council, I had no idea I was about to be vilified.

The reaction was swift, disproportionate. Instead of addressing the legitimate concerns I raised, the police showed up at my door. I was accused of harassing & stalking Charlotte Gerada—a baseless charge that had no merit. The police themselves admitted that no crime had taken place. They were there to give me a "verbal telling off," nothing more. No official action was taken, no warnings issued. But the message was clear: if you dare to speak out against those in power, especially if they lean left, you can expect a knock on your door.

Under pressure & wanting to avoid further unnecessary drama, I agreed to delete the posts. But the situation escalated just a few days later when Charlotte Gerada posted on (Cont'd)

Facebook, saying her solicitor had sent me multiple letters, I wasn't leaving her alone, then going on to accuse me of stalking her & sharing pictures of her child online. These accusations were not only false, but deeply harmful. She even went so far as to compare me to the man who murdered Jo Cox, a tragic & horrifying event that should never be trivialised or used for political gain. All because I had the audacity to question her husband's history of violence, I was painted as some kind of monster. It was beyond belief.

The slander didn't stop there. Gerada's post fuelled a firestorm of outrage. Nurses from my local hospital, as well as local councillors, began demanding that I be named & shamed. Some even suggested that I was the reason they felt unsafe taking their children to local parks. It was a classic case of gaslighting—manipulating the truth to make the victim seem like the perpetrator. But when I reported these dangerous lies to the police, the response was dismissive. I was told it was a civil matter, something they couldn't get involved in.

This is where the double standards become glaringly obvious. The police were more than willing to visit my home, my mother's home & even inquire about my workplace & children's school, all because I criticised a left-wing politician. But when that same politician slandered me publicly, putting my safety & reputation at risk, the police couldn't be bothered. A single sentence in an email was all I received, telling me it wasn't their problem.

This experience has shown me firsthand the reality of two-tier policing, not long before it became a national issue. When you challenge the establishment, especially the left-wing establishment, you can expect the full force of the law to come down on you. But when those on the left cross the line, the same law suddenly becomes impotent.

As I continue this local battle for National justice, I will not be silenced & neither will you. The people of our country need patriots who will stand up against hypocrisy, fight for fairness & expose the rot at the heart of our political system & society.

The time for being bullied into silence is over. It's time for us to speak out, to stand tall & to demand justice, for the future that was taken from our children & their children...





Opinion: Aspiration, not Aversion

By James Niccol

6th Aug, 2024

The violence that we have seen across the country this weekend is a symptom of the disease that currently plagues our people, but it is not a cure for it. The violence is evidence of a deep-seated discontentment among the White working classes for the current state of affairs in this country.


Those who engaged in the violence fall largely into two categories: the young, aged between 13 and 25, and those of riper years, aged over 40. Those who are older have watched as successive governments of both Labour and Conservative have facilitated mass migration against the will of the British people. Ever since Enoch Powell gave his seminal 'Rivers of Blood' speech, the British public has been firmly opposed to mass migration; the better part of 70% of the British public agreed with Powell's speech at the time, and survey after survey since then has shown that the British have always been opposed to mass migration. When polled in April of last year, the Migration Observatory found that over half of Britons believed immigration should be reduced.

The older generation has watched as, against their wishes, having never been given the basic respect of a consultation, their country has changed beyond all recognition. Their cities and towns have been taken from them and have been handed over to a foreign element, one that does not respect their way of life, their culture or their heritage. They have watched as the National Health Service has crumbled under the weight of unchecked, Third-World migration. They have watched as the police have turned from an honourable order of decent and honest men focused on protecting and serving their community to a ramshackle gaggle of government goons, more concerned with upholding the political will of the elite, with protecting the interests of minority groups, with policing the actions of dissidents online. They have watched as wages have depressed, as jobs have become scarce, as British businesses have been forced out of White-majority areas to be replaced with an inexorable procession of foreign owned barber shops, takeaways and corner shops. If these facts do not incense the older generation to such an acute degree that they will riot and burn, then they are simply glad that they will die soon and will no longer have to face the reality of the situation that has been forced on them.

The younger generation do not have the luxury of knowing that they will die and that these things will stop for them. Nostalgic for a country they never knew, inspired by a story they were never told, loved unceasingly by (Cont'd)

ancestors whose names they never heard, young, White, working-class British men are filled with rage, rage for the dying of the light whose kiss they never felt upon their cheeks. They have expressed that rage during the violence we saw across the country over the weekend. They know they are never going to own a home because there are simply too many people in the country who require one. They know they are never going to have job security in any profession because there is simply too large a pool of labour for employers to select from. They know they are going to be taxed more and more to pay for greater and greater migration, both legal and illegal. They know the police do not treat minority groups with the same punitive zeal as they are treated. They know they are going to be a minority in their own country within a few short decades. The Southport Massacre was simply the match that lit the fuse for a great pyre that successive governments have been adding dried wood to for decades. White working class men are angry. They have not been presented with a solution from any political party, and have not been for decades. Brexit was meant to be the solution, but it has been a catastrophic failure and most Brexit voters would now agree that the whole process was utterly futile; immigration is running at record levels and will continue to do so under Smarmy Starmy's Labour government, who no longer cares about the working men of this nation.

What is the solution to these problems? Put in simple terms, the White working classes in this country demand one policy, that of remigration. The subversive foreign elements in this nation must be removed forthwith. The White working class demand that those who are not White Europeans and who do not directly benefit this nation are removed. (Cont'd on next page)





Opinion: Aspiration, not Aversion

Their political enemies will call them racist, will label them with every pejorative under the sun in an effort to shame them into silence or compliance, but these labels do not have any effect on the White working classes any more, not in Britain, not in America, not in Europe. In order for these solutions to be accepted by those who have rioted and are prepared to do it again, in order for the passion, the energy, the fury that was laid bare over the weekend to be quelled, nationalists must step forward and answer the questions that no one else has the political will to even ask. Most crucially, these answers, and indeed the questions that beget them, must be framed in the correct manner.

It is very easy to fall into the same trap leftists and Marxists fall into. Leftism, as a political ideology, is built on an innate feeling of inferiority. They view themselves as victims, precisely because they have such little faith in themselves, and only consider political divisions based on a victim/victimiser complex. Because they view themselves to be victims, they identify with those who can be considered in that dynamic. Leftists identify with and defend the behaviour of homosexuals, transgenders and other sexual minorities only because they are a minority and are therefore subject to oppression from the majority group, considering the dynamic in a Marxist perspective. Leftists identify with and progress the opportunities of women because, in terms of the individuals that hold up a society and hold power within it, they are a minority group. Leftists identify with and defend the views and feelings of Muslims, despite the fact that Muslims have even less tolerance, hold even worse views on sexual minorities and women than White working classes do, entirely because Muslims are a nominal minority in this country. Even if leftists were to understand just how much hatred and disgust the average Muslim feels towards them and their ideology, they would still identify with them, because the Marxist view of history is to consider majorities as evil oppressors, and minorities as innately good purely by virtue of their existence as a minority, as well as to consider any power structure to be oppressive to those who fall outside it. Consider the tactics leftists use when protesting. They instigate police and right-wing types to attack them, they glue themselves to roads, they lie down in front of vehicles. They use masochistic tactics because victims can only protest on the presumption that they are victims. If anyone attacks them or uses violence against them, if anyone picks them up and drags them out of the road, then their pre-conceived notion that they are victims is vindicated. Such tactics are anathema to those whose mental constitutions are well-ordered. Go on any social media forum, such as reddit, and see what leftists say about their own mental health. (Cont'd)



Nationalists must instead venerate, glorify and exalt the past and our achievements as a nation, as a people, as a race.

Each of them will crow about how they are so depressed, because victimhood is not a position that self-reliant, entrepreneurial, intrepid people can identify with, and one does not attract worthy political activists to one's cause, whatever that cause may be, if one tells said activists that they are meek, feeble, victims of an evil, oppressive order.

Much of the messaging I hear coming out of nationalist circles, especially over the past few days, centres around that exact notion. That we as Britons are an oppressed people, oppressed by violent Africans who commit crimes against us with impunity, oppressed by miserly and grasping Jews who impose usury on us, oppressed by Muslims who are demographically replacing us without any response. Many nationalist personalities cite crime statistics, economic statistics or demographic statistics to push the notion that the British people, that the European population, that the White race are oppressed victims. Such messaging will destroy any nationalist movement in its infancy. We will not convince anyone who is unsure as to whether or not they should support us by telling them that, by supporting us, they can be victims just like us.

Nationalists must instead venerate, glorify and exalt the past and our achievements as a nation, as a people, as a race. Britain is chief amongst all White nations in their contribution to the development of human culture, language and identity. It was British writers and philosophers that considered the nature of reality, of society, of humanity in ways that nobody has ever been able to replicate since, nor indeed was able to better before. (Cont'd on Next page)





Opinion: Aspiration, not Aversion

It was Britain that gave effective political systems to half the world, systems that were infinitely superior to those that those people were living under before the British Empire came to the fore, systems that still exist to this day and are maintained, despite endemic corruption and instability in many of those nations (take Bangladesh this year as one example, Sri Lanka two years ago as another, all of (formerly British controlled) Africa since we left as the prime). It was the British people that invented the English language, the most perfect, versatile and simple language that has ever existed, one that has become the lingua franca of the world. Pseudointellectuals like to point to the influence of America as the reason for this, but this is, of course, preposterous. If America had elected French as its lingua franca in opposition to British culture, it never would have had the global influence that it does today. American culture was able to become pre-eminent in the world today primarily because their language was and continues to be English, even if this is not official. Even the European Union itself uses English in its day to day administration, despite our departure from it, and this is certainly not out of deference to or consideration for the Irish, the only country left in the EU where a majority of mother tongues are English.

It was British warriors who won the Battle of Rorke's Drift despite being outnumbered 30 to one. It was British soldiers in the Light Brigade that charged the Russian guns despite no chance whatsoever of victory. It was British soldiers that finally defeated Napoleon, who had embarrassed Europe for decades with victory after victory. It was British explorers that navigated the world, discovering lands and peoples previously unknown to the rest of Europe.

It was British seamen who defeated the armada of the most powerful country Europe had known since Rome. It was Geordie miners who extracted the coal that powered the world, it was Lancastrian factory workers who crafted the fabrics that the world wore, it was Scouse workmen who built the ships that revolutionised the global economy around maritime trade.

It is British nationalists who bear the responsibility to teach the British people just how much we have done for the world. It is British nationalists who bear the responsibility of convincing the British that we are not the victims of today, but the victors of yesterday. It is British nationalists who must understand that if they base British nationalism around an aversion to something undesirable as opposed to an aspiration for something desirable, then the British identity will evaporate, the British nation will disintegrate, the British race will be rendered extinct.

The disease is migration. The symptom of the disease is the violence we saw over the weekend. The cure is remigration. British nationalists have a chance at this moment, and for a limited time only, with serious and directed political organisation, to present that cure to the public in a manner conducive to their understanding, but it must be done in the correct way, not by presenting Britain and the British people as victims of circumstance lashing out against an oppressive system, as if we were masochistic, self-hating leftists, but by presenting them as victors of history trying to remake tomorrow in the image of yesterday, and who are capable of extraordinary and unimaginable violence, if they are not presented with a political answer to the only question they are asking.



It was British warriors who won the Battle of Rorke's Drift despite being outnumbered 30 to one. It was British soldiers in the Light Brigade that charged the Russian guns despite no chance whatsoever of victory. It was British soldiers that finally defeated Napoleon, who had embarrassed Europe for decades with victory after victory.





The Twenty Demands of the Nationalist Agenda

1. We demand that only people of British heritage or lineage shall be inherently entitled by birth to be British citizens.
2. We demand that foreigners whose presence is harmful to the interests of the national community be expelled, without exception, from Great Britain. Those who enter without permission shall be expelled by default and prohibited from ever returning.
3. We demand that only British citizens be permitted to vote or hold public office at any level.
4. We demand that no foreign treaty, alliance or undertaking be entered into or continued unless it serves the interests of the national community. This includes the distribution of British money to foreign nations.
5. We demand that all citizens will have equal rights, and equal duties to society. Those who refuse to carry out their duties will be stripped of their rights.
6. We demand that every British citizen has a duty to be productive, to the best of their ability. All honest work is honourable, and work is a duty.
7. We demand that all British men must perform some kind of military service for a period of at least 18 months, to the best of their ability.
8. We demand a justice system with the end goal of removing the most dangerous offenders from society. The most serious crimes, including crimes against the nation and its people, must carry the death penalty.
9. We demand the creation of a new British Law System whereby the first principle of the system is accessibility.
10. We demand freedom of religion for all British people, so long as the religion does not threaten or harm the interests of the national community. Any religion which does this shall be prohibited.
11. We demand the continuation of state-funded education. We further demand that the state should establish and finance a series of schools of excellence, which will provide scholarship education of the highest possible quality, free of charge, with admittance purely on the basis of academic merit.
12. We demand that British citizens shall continue to have access to a state-funded National Health Service, and the state should routinely promote and fund programmes which encourage a physically and mentally healthy lifestyle. Foreigners or visitors will be required to pay for the use of public health services.
13. We demand that the re-zoning of green land for other uses must only occur by absolute national necessity. Policies to protect the environment must be realistic and achievable and not based on impossible goals.
14. We demand that productive private enterprise be bolstered as a cornerstone of British society. Businesses should be encouraged to form co-operatives and collaborate where possible for mutual benefit. The state must support businesses which work towards national economic goals.
15. We demand that all trade unions be amalgamated and the national government assume the duty of the protection of the worker.
16. We demand that all public utilities and transportation networks be placed into public ownership. The only profits they will take will be those required for future investment.
17. We demand that the financial method of endlessly accumulating debt be brought to an end, and that the two principle objectives of fiscal policy be to 1) eliminate debt, and 2) prevent inflation.
18. We demand that tariffs be applied where necessary to protect and foster the development of British enterprises. International capitalism, and its relentless destruction of British industries, must be ended.
19. We demand a welfare system that provides unemployed or destitute persons productive employment as opposed to a dependency income. The welfare system must also include profit margin limitations on rents and property prices.
20. We demand that the British state be controlled by a strong national government, with total authority, whose legitimacy derives directly from the consent of the British citizenry by way of plebiscites and referenda of public approval.





Advertisements

UK Pantry

One of our colleagues in Lincolnshire runs various community outreach enterprises, including a food programme. He currently provides affordable food packages that consist of FRESH meat, vegetables and baked goods by home delivery to people around Lincolnshire and neighbouring counties. This program is available to support those on low incomes who require extra assistance.

If you would like to support this project in any way, OR you live within Lincolnshire or a neighbouring county and think you could benefit from these affordable food packages, please contact Lee at:

ukpantry@gmail.com

Leeds Fresh Food Bank

Support delivered to your Home



As many people are being hit by the Cost of Living crisis, increasing numbers of low-income families rely on food banks to provide cheap access to meals.

Instead of canned and processed food, our aim is to provide FRESH MEAT, VEGETABLES and BAKED GOODS directly from the Farm to the Table, at the same cost or CHEAPER than typical food banks.

We already have a network of providers who have been supporting hundreds of homes across Yorkshire and Lincolnshire. If our Leeds pilot scheme is successful, we will expand our operations to other cities.

Leeds Fresh Food Bank and Delivery

Anyone based in LEEDS area who could use assistance from this program, or who would like to DONATE to it, please contact David Smaller on smallerdavid03@gmail.com

Wish to Advertise in the Party Newspaper?

Contact the Editor at:

publicrelations@nationalrebirthparty.org.uk

Get Involved

Visit our Party Website at

nationalrebirthparty.org.uk

Contact the Party for the following matters:

General Enquiries:

enquiries@nationalrebirthparty.org.uk

Membership Enquiries:

membership@nationalrebirthparty.org.uk

If you have an article or advertisement that you wish to be published by the Party, or any kind of media material that you wish to create, contribute by contacting:

publicrelations@nationalrebirthparty.org.uk

Visit the Party on:

[Facebook](#)

[Twitter](#)

[Telegram](#)

[Tiktok](#)



DONATE



The NRP needs YOUR help to succeed. If you wish to donate to the Party, please visit the 'Donate' section of our Website and fill out the contact form.

Published by the National Rebirth Party,
A registered political party in Great Britain

PO Box 296, Knottingley
West Yorkshire
WF9 8EU





Facebook



X



Telegram



Sale Price: £2

To order copies in Bulk by delivery, please contact

publicrelations@nationalrebirthparty.org.uk

Minimum order = 5 Copies



Images in this publication are property of their respective copyright holders

